Refined Appetites: Adam Smith on Taste, Consumption, and the Industrial Revolution[1]

Jimena Hurtado
jihurtad@uniandes.edu.co
March 2025
First Draft

Abstract.

This paper examines Adam Smith's account of the "taste for beauty" as a cultural driver of economic behavior and innovation during the Industrial Revolution. It argues that aesthetic preferences, rooted in the desire for refinement, admiration, and distinction, help explain patterns of consumption and influence technological change. By foregrounding the moral and aesthetic dimensions of Smith's thought, the paper offers an alternative to production-centered narratives of industrialization.

Introduction

The role of aesthetics in economic thought has often been overlooked, yet Adam Smith's reflections on beauty, taste, and social admiration provide a crucial framework for understanding the broader cultural dimensions of economic behavior. In *The Theory of Moral Sentiments* (TMS) and *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations* (WN), Smith explores how the admiration of the rich and powerful, the desire to better one's condition, and the taste of beauty influence social and economic interactions.

While in previous studies I focused on the economic implications of aesthetic preferences and the techno-aesthetic dimensions of industrial consumption (Hurtado 2023, 2025; Hurtado & Álvarez, 2024)[2], this research takes a step further by explicitly

[1] This paper builds upon earlier work by further integrating Smith's ideas on taste, ambition, and admiration with the cultural and intellectual conditions that facilitated the Industrial Revolution. Cultural valuation of technological artifacts is deeply embedded in aesthetic preferences so technological aesthetics shape patterns of consumption, which in turn influence their commercial success (Hurtado & Álvarez, 2024). Aesthetic preferences contribute to the adoption of new technologies and consumer goods, which highlights the interplay between cultural values and economic behavior (Hurtado & Álvarez, 2024).

[2] These are topics that are also closely related with joint work proposing an alternative interpretation of Smith's value theory (Hurtado & Paganelli 2023, 2025a, 2025b).

linking Smith's reflections on beauty, social status, and ambition with the cultural origins of technological change. Mokyr (2016) contends that the Industrial Revolution was not merely the result of economic incentives or resource availability but also of cultural and intellectual shifts that fostered technological progress. Building on Mokyr's (2010 & 2016) and Deirdre McCloskey's (2010) perspective, I argue that Smith's insights into beauty and admiration help explain why technological innovations were developed, embraced, and commercialized in 18th-century Europe. The desire to better one's condition and the admiration of the rich and powerful were not simply psychological tendencies but driving forces behind economic expansion and industrialization.

Smith gives us the theoretical framework to explore the role of aesthetic preferences in economic and industrial change, moving beyond a purely production-drive interpretation of the Industrial Revolution. This allows complementing a more traditional view focusing on industrialization as the development of machines, factories, increasing division of labor, and productivity. This complementary and alternative view focuses on the cultural and aesthetic preferences as shaping forces in what innovations were pursued and how industries evolved. It opens the door to conceiving industrialization as fueled by demand for beautifully crafted goods, not just mass production. In parallel, Smith's idea that humans are driven by harmony and proportion extends beyond art into practical applications like architecture, machinery, and manufacturing. This challenges the notion that industrial progress was solely driven by efficiency and necessity—taste also played a role. Smith's principle on the taste for beauty helps explain why people value refinement of goods, allowing to change focus from how industries mechanized to why people wanted industrial goods. The point is that the taste of beauty, as one of the principles of the mind, shapes human knowledge and technical progress.

Recognizing aesthetic preferences as an economic force means analyzing the role of consumer tastes in shaping industrial development as Nathan Rosenberg (1968) and Regina Gagnier (1993) have done and exploring the deeper motivations that drive aesthetic valuation. The taste of beauty as defined by Smith as a preference for "proper variety, easy connection, and simple order) (LJ(B): 208, p.488) is a fundamental principle to explain why individuals engage in market activity beyond satisfying their "three humble necessities" (LJ(B): 209: p.488).

The taste for beauty develops within a social context where people want to be taken notice of and are driven by the love of praise (TMS III.ii.1; 25) but they also admire the

rich and the great (TMS I.iii.3.1) precisely because they own the symbols of prosperity and happiness materialized in goods. Both, the desire to better one's condition and the admiration of the rich and the great are drivers of economic behavior. The pursuit of beauty and order shapes human action in both private and public life (Siraki 2013, p.8). Beauty plays a role in shaping economic aspirations and social hierarchies (Siraki 2013, p.15) but it also influences patterns of technological consumption (Hurtado, 2021), meaning that aesthetic judgements helped shape industrialization itself.

The desire to better one's condition is a basic element in explaining economic progress (c.f. Rosenberg, 1968; Dwyer 2005) and taste formation shapes economic choices (c.f. Ward 1990; Thomas 2024). Moving beyond how consumer behavior responded to industrialization, Smith's aesthetic framework explains why people seek new goods in the first place, linking aesthetic preferences with innovation and economic expansion.

Amongst others, William D. Grampp (1948) and Maríafilomena Anzalone (2021) emphasize that Smith saw wealth and status as key motivators for economic action and opened the way to consider how these motivations interact with technological progress. The aesthetic admiration of industrial products, especially as objects of ingenuity and artifice, helped shape the economic landscape of the Industrial Revolution (Hurtado, 2021; Hurtado & Álvarez, 2024). This suggests that Smith's insights into social admiration extend beyond interpersonal status-seeking to include the valuation of technological goods and innovations.

Human Delicacy and the Need for Refinement

In the *Lectures on Jurisprudence*, Smith is reported to have introduced the taste for beauty in discussing police, "the second general division of jurisprudence" that refers to the regulation of the inferior parts of government (LJ(B): 203, p.486). More precisely, it appears in his presentation about cheapness or plenty "which is the same thing, the most proper way of procuring wealth and abundance" (LJ(B): 205, p.487). He starts by presenting what the natural needs of human beings are.

Smith states the difference between these wants and those of any other animal: "Such is the delicacy of man alone, that no object is produced to his liking" (LJ(B) 206, p.487). Since the beginning of human history, humans have improved things they find in nature. But this is not only due to human physical fragility, compared to other animals, it is the

delicacy of the human mind that “requires a still greater provision, to which all the different arts (are) subservient” (LJ(B): 208, p.488).

Using this delicacy of the mind, Smith introduces how humankind has changed the face of the earth and domesticated nature. Even more, human needs are so demanding that no single person can provide for herself, she needs others. Whereas in the WN, Smith begins with the division of labor, here he takes a step back; human preferences are at the root of labor, division of labor, and, thus, industry.

And preferences are explained by the taste of beauty. Smith defines this taste as the search for “proper variety, easy connection, and simple order” (LJ(B): 208, p. 488). Qualifying each attribute, Smith opens the door to a combination between universal and contextual aspects of beauty. For something to be beautiful it must not be dull or too crowded or too familiar, it must have “a proper resemblance or contrast”, and it should not require much effort to understand (LJ(B): 208, p.488).

“These qualities, which are the ground of preference and which give occasion to pleasure and pain, are the cause of many insignificant demands which we by no means stand in need of. The whole industry of human life is employed not in procuring the supply of our three humble necessities, food, cloaths, and lodging, but in procuring the conveniences of it according to the nicety and delicacey of our taste. To improve and multiply the materials which are the principal objects of our necessities, gives occasion to all the variety of the arts.” LJ(B) 209: p.488.

The taste of beauty explains why humans appreciate things beyond their immediate usefulness. Beauty produces pleasure as the absence of its accompanying features produces pain. There is a direct link between the taste of beauty and sensory and emotional experiences. Beauty produces admiration (HA I.4, 5) , and, it also appears as one of the forces driving technological progress and the multiplication and diversity of goods produced.

In brief, according to Smith, the delicacy of the human mind requires improvements in everything as “no object is naturally produced to [their] liking” (LJ(B): 206: 487) so that all the different arts are developed to accommodate this specific human constitution. This psychological drive for refinement supports the idea that economic and technological progress exceed mere physical necessity and respond to an inherent desire for improvement.

Consumer Taste and Fashion

The taste of beauty appears as the building block of preferences, and preferences as the driving force behind industry. All improvements in production can be seen as results of this need humans have to improve things. This allows humans not only to improve themselves and become beautiful in character and in appearance for others to appreciate and admire but also to improve their knowledge about themselves and the world that surrounds us. Discovering, understanding, learning, and explaining are all related to this aesthetic quest. When Smith talks about the arts, he is referring to all possible fields of knowledge, including practical knowledge. Systems of knowledge can be beautiful (HA) even when they are not true because they explain, they connect events and phenomena, producing peace of mind and revealing the secret chains of nature.

Mokyr (2016) underscores the importance of practical knowledge for the Industrial Revolution in Britain. Smith shows how this particular commodity, as Mokyr calls it, has clear returns for the producer: the beauty of a well-contrived machine because "systems in many respects resemble machines [... they are] an imaginary machine invented to connect together in the fancy those different movements and effects which are already in reality performed" (HA IV.19). Moreover, Smith attests to this peculiar combination Mokyr (2016) sees in the XVIIIth century European context between the view of useful knowledge and the possibility of constant improvement. This translates into the attitude required to boost technological progress based on social relations and, as Smith presents, a relationship between people and nature.

Beauty and Utility

With this in mind, it is easier to see why Smith connects utility with beauty (TMS IV.i.1: 179). The fitness of an object has to do with its function and with the pleasures associated with its use. According to Smith, "what constitutes the nature of beauty" (TMS IV.i.1: 179) has to do with the pleasure that conveniency and regularity give to the spectator. "That the fitness of any system or machine to produce the end for which it was intended, bestows a certain propriety and beauty upon the whole, and renders the very thought and contemplation of it agreeable, is so very obvious that nobody has overlooked it." (TMS IV.i.1: 179). As obvious as it might seem, Smith dedicates a whole part of the TMS to analyze this. Certainly, his aim here is to make the difference between propriety and utility as the foundation of moral sentiments. But what interests me here is not why Smith considers that we do not judge of the character of a person as we judge

of an object, but rather how we judge of an object and why this judgement Is aesthetic and originates in the taste of beauty.

Smith strives to show that the pleasure of beauty makes people value more the way things are made or ordered, than the actual end they were made for (TMS IV.i.3: 179-80). Objects must not only be fit for their purpose, but they must also fulfill their purpose with "proper variety, easy connection, and simple order".

With increasing division of labor, society needs to guarantee coordination in all activities, including productive ones. Coordination requires punctuality and it is easier to be punctual if everyone has a watch. This promotes the adoption of this technological innovation that allows everyone to keep track of time. But as Smith shows in his example of the lover of watches, this individual might not be more punctual or more concerned with keeping time than any other; what this person is interested in "is not so much the attainment of this piece of knowledge, as the perfection of the machine which serves to attain it" (TMS IV.i.5: 180). The machine itself is an object of admiration. This individual will collect watches because of the admiration she feels for these objects.

The aptness of the machines, advances Smith (TMS IV.i.6: 180), accounts for the demand of "lovers of toys". Smith describes machines and industrial innovations in aesthetic terms, suggesting that their appeal lies not just in efficiency but in elegance and precision (Siraki 2013, p.118). The lover of bubblets and trinkets, the lovers of toys (TMS IV.I.6) are drawn to mechanisms that appear well-ordered and beautifully designed, even if their practical utility is limited (Siraki 2013, p.120). This aesthetic fascination with technology helped shape industrial design and consumer demand in the XVIIIth and XIXth centuries (Siraki 2013, p. 125).

This frivolous motive "is often the secret motive of the most serious and important pursuits of both private and public life" (TMS IV.i.7: 181). What has come to be known as the parable of the poor man's son follows this analysis of the lover of toys. More than the deception or what appears to be a grim view Smith might have had about wealth, what is noticeable is that even if "wealth and greatness are mere trinkets of frivolous utility" (TMS IV.i.8: 181) the beauty of the objects associated with wealth and greatness are evident for anyone. These objects "more effectually gratify that love of distinction so natural to man" (TMS IV.i.8: 182). The lover of toys, just as the poor man's son, has surrounded himself with objects that produce admiration. In some sense, we could say that they have become beautiful objects themselves. The poor man's son, in particular,

has created a well-contrived machine that would eventually allow him to enjoy the pleasure these objects are made to provide when they fulfill their purpose. But more than the end itself, it is the process, the effort, the ingenuity and industry of this person, observable in the goods he owns, that produces admiration.

It is only when the poor man's son is motionless, when he is sick or old, when he cannot continue pursuing this "ingenious and artful adjustment of those means to the end for which they were intended" (TMS IV.i.8: 182) this material goods appear as a vain and empty pleasure. Smith clearly states that it is a pessimistic and overly melancholic worldview, "this splenetic philosophy" (TMS IV.i.9: 183), that explains why people might in some low points in their lives see wealth as an "enormous and operose machine contrived to produce a few trifling conveniencies" (TMS IV.i.8: 182).

It is the exception rather than the rule to see wealth like this, in a sense, in an isolated and solitary way. In good conditions, we are "charmed with the beauty of that accommodation" (TMS IV.i.9: 183). Usually, in the regular circumstances of life, people view wealth and greatness "as something grand and beautiful and noble, of which the attainement is well worth all the toil and anxiety which we are apt to bestow upon it" (TMS IV.i.9: 183). People do not only see the end for which objects of wealth and greatness are made for, what they admire is "the order, the regular and harmonious movement of the system, the machine or oeconomy by which it produced" (TMS IV.i.9: 183). The objects associated with wealth and greatness have theh "proper variety, the easy connection, and the simple order" taste of beauty desires.

We could go as far as saying that the pursuit of wealth and greatness is an aesthetic endeavor that relates us with others, whose admiration we pursue, and with the world around us that we must transform and improve to accommodate the delicacy of our minds. Smith calls this a deception in the sense that we cannot distinguish between the end and the means, between the fitness of the object to fulfill its purpose and the arrangement of the object itself. It is not possible to separate the final use from how that use is achieved. Utility, one of the main sources of beauty according to Smith, points to aptness and fitness, but cannot overlook the object itself, how it works and how it looks.

Smith suggests how beauty aligns with both utility and innovation because aesthetic refinement pushes societies toward improvement, not just in material wealth but in the cultural and intellectual domains (Anzalone 2021, p.102). Utility and refinement are interconnected because people admire things not only for their aesthetic appeal but

also for their perceived usefulness and sophistication (Siraki 2013, p.45). Consumer demand is shaped on necessity and desire for aesthetically pleasing goods (Siraki 2013, p.50). People admire objects for their functionality and for their appearance of order symmetry, and refinement (Grampp 1948, p.320). People also want goods for what those goods can do for them. As in the case of the poor man's son, people own goods that make them look and be perceived in certain ways.

The Desire to Better Our Condition and the Admiration of the Rich and Powerful

Social admiration and recognition drive both moral and economic progress (Anzalone 2021, p.94). Imitation and imaginative projection play a crucial role in social approval linked with elegance, beauty, and refinement (Anzalone 2021, p. 97). So, the same process that explains the corruption of our moral sentiments and the stability of social order associated with the admiration of the grace and magnificence of the rich and powerful (TMS I.iii.3), drives individuals to emulate and refine their behavior and surroundings (Anzalone 2021, p. 98).

The pursuit of wealth and refinement is linked to a desire for social approval and aesthetic admiration (Anzalone 2021, p.99) because beyond material prosperity people seek the prestige, admiration, and aesthetic refinement associated with it (Anzalone 2021, p. 100). Grampp (1948, p.318) highlights that Smith saw aesthetic appreciation as a key aspect of human nature, influencing both economic and social behavior. Smith suggests that people seek wealth not just for material benefit but because society mistakenly associates riches with happiness and virtue (Grampp 1948, p.320). The love of beauty plays a role in economic progress, as people aspire to refined tastes and social recognition (Grampp 1948, p.321).

Ward (1990), in his literature review on the Consumer Revolution, nuances the role assigned until then to social emulation. The widespread change in consumer tastes during the XVIIIth century, according to this literature, depended upon social status. In Smith's terms, bettering one's condition, for some meant consuming goods to improve their daily life, and for others to maintain social differences. What has been called XVIIIth century consumerism joins social emulation, and the value consumers gave to goods as a token of their social status. Differentiation, belonging, and being able to participate in social life are all part of this desire to better one's condition.

Rather than questioning social emulation as the sole explanation for XVIIIth century consumerism, the literature Ward (1990) reviews, can be seen, in Smith's framework,

as a confirmation of the reasons why people purchase goods that they value because they consider them beautiful, useful, and fashionable (Hurtado & Paganelli, 2023). If fashion is understood beyond simply following trends, but rather as a way to build and express identity, it is possible to see that people consume fashion as an expression of who they are, where they belong, and how they want to be perceived. Goods are signals of who the individual is and how she wants to be perceived by others. Demanding goods is an expression of the desire to better our condition (TMS I.iii.2.1; WN II.iii.28) because this desire is directly linked to the way people perceive each other.
We do not want to be exactly alike, we do not want exactly the same goods, with exactly the same characteristics. We do not like uniformity. We want goods that can be easily connected with certain attributes to signal what we value as individuals and the community or social groups we belong to (TMS V.I).

Custom and fashion, Smith asserts (TMS V.i.2,3), determine how goods should be used and who should use them. Therefore, the emulation of the rich and the great does not mean that everybody else should use the same things because they would be out of place. Even if taste is a universal characteristic of human beings, it expresses in different ways so that what is understood as "proper variety, easy connection, and simple order" varies according to time, place, and social status (Thomas, 2024). This explains why Smith makes the difference between necessaries and luxuries contingent clearly illustrating with the examples of linen shirts and leather shoes that necessaries are "whatever the custom of the country renders it indecent for creditable people, even of the lowest rank, to be without" (WN V.ii.k.3).

Prudent people take care of their health, their fortune, their rank, and reputation, which allows them to satisfy "probably, the strongest of all our desires", that of "becoming the proper objects of this respect, of deserving and obtaining this credit and rank among our equals" (TMS VI.i.3). The consideration and appreciation of those like us shapes prudent consumption patterns. Mere emulation of the rich and the great, might on the contrary, render the person vain or ridiculous (TMS III.2.4).

In any case, the taste for beauty, the desire to better our condition, and our admiration for the rich and the great, push us to demand goods that we consider beautiful and make us the proper object of our fellow's admiration and consideration. We do not pursue the objects for themselves or for the pleasure they give us when we use them. We demand goods that are beautiful in themselves and make us agreeable to others. "To be observed, to be attended to, to be taken notice of with sympathy, complacency,

and approbation, are all the advantages which we can propose to derive from" bettering our condition (TMS I.iii.2.1: 50). Industry must provide us with those goods.

The Industrial Revolution and Aesthetic Preferences

The Industrial Revolution was not solely an economic transformation—it was also a cultural one. Aesthetic preferences, shaped by the human desire for refinement and social distinction, played a vital role in shaping patterns of consumption and, consequently, the development of new forms of industrial production. Adam Smith's analysis of fashion, luxury, and the pursuit of beauty offers important insight into how these preferences became drivers of technological change and economic growth.

As noted earlier, Smith observed that people are not content with mere functionality; they seek goods that express refinement, style, and status. Improvements in manufacturing often stem not from basic need but from the desire to meet evolving standards of elegance and fashion. As Grampp (1948) emphasized, Smith recognized that people are not satisfied with simple function; they want goods that symbolize status, refinement, and progress. This consumer orientation toward beauty and distinction became a powerful force shaping industrial innovation.

One striking example is the evolution of the watchmaking industry. As documented by Kelly and Ó Gráda (2015), watches in the XVIIIth century became the first widely available consumer durables whose appeal depended on both precision and aesthetic refinement. Over the course of a century, their real prices dropped by as much as 75%, while the design and quality improved dramatically. Consumers increasingly demanded not only accurate instruments, but ones that were beautifully crafted and fashionably styled. Meeting those expectations required major advancements in mechanization and division of labor.

The growing demand for aesthetically refined goods encouraged producers to compete not just on price or function, but on design. Smith understood that such competition was a source of economic dynamism. In industries such as textiles, furniture, ceramics, and metalwork, manufacturers responded to shifts in taste by innovating in form, ornamentation, and material use. This helped align industrial production with the aesthetics of fashion, luxury, and perceived progress.

As Rosenberg (1965) showed, Smith associated economic growth with the increasing complexity and variety of consumer preferences. Rather than being a static or

extraneous factor, taste was central to shaping both demand and the structure of supply. It stimulated experimentation and specialization, pushing entrepreneurs and artisans to adapt their methods to meet new aesthetic standards.

The consumer revolution of the eighteenth century exemplifies this phenomenon. In provincial England, retailers and producers adapted rapidly to changing tastes in textiles, household goods, and groceries (Dyer 2016). French silk patterns, for example, were replicated and circulated not simply for their material quality but for their visual appeal and cultural prestige. The variation in colors, scales, and motifs speaks to an increasingly differentiated public eager to display its discernment through material possessions.

Aesthetic preferences thus helped to redefine the very logic of production. Industries that succeeded in marrying functionality with visual and tactile appeal—such as watchmaking, textiles, and ceramics—thrived in this environment. As Siraki (2013) notes, the success of such goods rested on their capacity to signal refinement, distinction, and alignment with the evolving tastes of the time.

In short, the Industrial Revolution was not driven by technological change alone. The pursuit of beauty and the desire to possess goods that conveyed sophistication and cultural status played a crucial role in shaping consumer behavior and production methods. Smith's reflections on taste and fashion reveal the cultural dimensions of economic life—and help us see how industrial capitalism was shaped not only by the logic of efficiency, but by the aesthetics of innovation.

Aesthetic preferences explain conspicuous and status-driven consumption as the artistic influences on commerce. Industrialization did not only produce more goods—it produced new ways of thinking about beauty, status, and innovation. These aesthetic preferences fostered technological change, and the rise of luxury goods industries, and the shift toward mass production of aesthetically refined goods. The rise of luxury goods industries, where appeal depends on both functional and aesthetic sophistication (Siraki 2013, p.140; Grampp 1948, p.344). shows, as Smith advances, that technological innovation is also shaped by the cultural aspiration for beauty and refinement (Siraki 2013, p. 143).

The pursuit of beauty encourages scientific inquiry, craftsmanship, and technological advancements, validating practical and useful knowledge that eventually led to mass production (Anzalone 2021, p. 106, Grampp 1948, p.330). At the same time, the

evolving standards of beauty and refinement pushed toward technological change. However, the new goods produced with this technological change, as the Industrial Revolution clearly shows, are not readily available to everyone.

Even if Smith underscores the improvement in people's living conditions in commercial society due to specialization (WN I.i.11: p. 22), the laboring poor struggled to come by the necessaries and conveniencies for their daily lives. In her book *Liberty's Dawn* (2013), Emma Griffin recovers firsthand testimonies of people living during the core decades of the Industrial Revolution in Britain. These testimonies, albeit mostly anecdotical and fragmentary, show the hardships and deprivations of the "laboring poor", but also allow us to see through their voices the consequences of industrialization on the working class. Acknowledging the selection bias in the testimonies, are they come from individuals who could read and write and were mostly men, they also show that, in spite of excess labor supply, new job opportunities arose especially in urban centers. The autobiographers, as Griffin calls them, describe how, in times of lasting employment and good wages, they were able to acquire furniture, books, and Sunday clothes. The absence of a safety net and the insufficiency of private charity made it very difficult to live through times of unemployment. But compared to farmers and agricultural workers, skilled and even unskilled laborers in industrializing sectors, considered they were better off. They had the possibility of acquiring goods that showed their rising status. So, while Smith helps illuminate how aesthetic preferences and the desire for refinement shaped consumer demand and industrial innovation, Griffin's book reminds us that these dynamics unfolded unevenly across social classes.

The autobiographical narratives examined by Griffin (2013) offer compelling evidence that ordinary people were not merely passive subjects of industrial change, but active participants driven by the desire to better their condition. These personal accounts reveal how aspirations for social mobility, dignity, and recognition translated into material desires and the pursuit of goods that improved daily life and signaled social mobility and self-worth. In this context, the taste for beauty, as described by Smith, becomes visible in the demand for better-crafted, more aesthetically pleasing objects. Crucially, while not all individuals enjoyed the same purchasing power, the taste for beauty is, in Smith's account, a universal trait of human nature. This suggests a deeply egalitarian position: that the desire for elegance, harmony, and improvement belongs not to a privileged few, but to everyone, regardless of rank or income.

Concluding remarks

This paper has argued that Adam Smith's reflections on beauty, taste, and social admiration offer a powerful framework for understanding the cultural foundations of the Industrial Revolution. By integrating Smith's conception of the taste for beauty with his analysis of consumer behavior, we see that technological change was not only driven by utility or necessity, but also by a pursuit of refinement and distinction. The taste for beauty—understood as a principle of the human mind—helps explain why new goods are not only desired but designed, produced, and adopted.

Aesthetic preferences helped shape the demand for goods and, through this demand, influenced the organization of production and the direction of innovation. The desire to better one's condition and the admiration of the rich and the great translated into a drive for goods that were not only functional, but beautiful and socially meaningful. This dynamic redefined the logic of industrial production and underscored the interdependence between taste and technique.

In this light, the Industrial Revolution can be seen not only as an economic transformation, but as an aesthetic and cultural one. The multiplicity of goods, the refinement of manufacturing techniques, and the emergence of consumer industries reflected evolving ideas of elegance, status, and innovation. Smith's work thus remains essential for understanding how cultural aspirations shape economic development and how beauty can act as a catalyst for progress.

Recognizing beauty as a force in economic life invites us to rethink how economic development happens. It is not merely a response to scarcity or functionality, but a creative response to the human need for order, variety, and connection. The pursuit of beauty, as Smith saw it, not only refines our tastes, but it also transforms our economies.

References

Anzalone, M. (2021). *Between mimesis and fiction: Recognition in Adam Smith*. *Itinerari*, 60, 93-110. https://doi.org/10.7413/2036-9484035

Dwyer, J. (2005). *Ethics and economics: Bridging Adam Smith's Theory of Moral Sentiments and Wealth of Nations*. *Journal of British Studies, 44*(4), 662-687. Cambridge University Press. https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/431936

Dyer, S. (2016). “From silks to spices: Changing processes of retailing and consumption in eighteenth-century English towns”. *Journal of Urban History*, 42(3), 647–653. https://doi.org/10.1177/0096144216635150.

Gagnier, R. (1993). *On the insatiability of human wants: Economic and aesthetic man*. *Victorian Studies, 36*(2), 125-153. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1829301

Grampp, W. D. (1948). *Adam Smith and the economic man*. *Journal of Political Economy, 56*(4), 315-336. The University of Chicago Press. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1826047

Griffin, E. (2013). *Liberty’s dawn: A people's history of the Industrial Revolution*. Yale University Press.

Kelly, M., & Ó Gráda, C. (2015). *Adam Smith, watch prices, and the Industrial Revolution*. *UCD Centre for Economic Research Working Paper Series*, WP15/05. University College Dublin. http://hdl.handle.net/10197/6439

Hurtado, J. (2025). “Taste and Commerce in Adam Smith” in F. Forman (ed). *The Adam Smith Review*. 14, 105-122.

Hurtado, J., (2023). *Adam Smith, Value and the Taste of Beauty*. Documento CEDE #8, Universidad de los Andes. Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/1992/65906.

Hurtado, J., Álvarez, A. (2024). “Belleza, tecnoestética y patrones de consumo: otra mirada a la Revolución Industrial”. In *Estudios Públicos* 2 *Adam Smith*, 311-325.

Hurtado, J., Paganelli, M.P. (2025a). “Where is the Labor Theory of Value in Adam Smith? Adam Smith’s Value Theory Revisited”. In *Journal of Contextual Economics*, Published Online First, https://doi.org/10.3790/schm.2024.380332.

Hurtado, J., Paganelli, M.P. (2025b). *Does my scale make me fat? Adam Smith, Imaginary money and ‘his’ labor theory of value*. Manuscript.

Hurtado, J., & Paganelli, M. P. (2023). “Diamonds are not forever: Adam Smith and Carl Menger on value and relative status”. *Review of Austrian Economics*, 36(3), 289–310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11138-022-00595-8.

MacLeod, C. (1988). *Inventing the Industrial Revolution: The English patent system, 1660-1800*. Cambridge University Press.

McCloskey, D. N. (2010). *Bourgeois dignity: Why economics can't explain the modern world*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mokyr, J. (2010). *The Enlightened Economy an Economic History of Britain 1700-1850*. New Haven, Yale University Press.

Mokyr, J. (2016). *A Culture of Growth: The Origins of the Modern Economy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Rosenberg, N. (1968). *Adam Smith, consumer tastes, and economic growth*. *Journal of Political Economy, 76*(3), 361-374. The University of Chicago Press. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1829301

Siraki, A. T. (2013). *Adam Smith and the problems of eighteenth-century aesthetics* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Ottawa). Retrieved from https://ruor.uottawa.ca/handle/10393/24155

Smith, A. (1980) 'History of Astronomy', in W. Wightman, J. Bryce, and I. Ross (eds) *Essays on Philosophical Subjects*, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund.

—— (1981) [1776]. *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, edited by R. Campbell and A. Skinner, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund.

—— (1982a). *Lectures on Jurisprudence*, edited by R. Meek, D. Raphael, and P. Stein, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund.

—— (1982b) [1759]. *The Theory of Moral Sentiments*, edited by A. MacFie and D. Raphael, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund.

—— (1985). *Lectures on Rhetoric and Belles Lettres*, edited by J. Bryce, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund.

Thomas, A. M. (2024). *Taste formation in classical political economy*. *The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*. FirstView https://doi.org/10.1080/09672567.2024.2396856

Ward, J. P. (1990). *Reinterpreting the consumer revolution*. *Journal of British Studies, 29*(4), 408-414. Cambridge University Press. https://www.jstor.org/stable/175411